

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/036,828	12/21/2001	Tiecheng A. Qiao	82917WFN	4935	
75	90 07/25/2003				
Thomas H. Close			EXAMINER		
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201			FORMAN, BETTY J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,			1634		
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	10/036,828	QIAO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BJ Forman	1634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 L	<u>December 2001</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			S
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application	ı.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 December 2001</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ c	bjected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)  approved b)  □ 0	lisapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	-		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	Ţ.		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	pplication No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application.</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			on).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has b	een received.	ŕ
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 03	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	

Application/Control Number: 10/036,828 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The references listed on the 1449 received 25 March 2002 have been reviewed and considered. A copy of the initialed 1449 is enclosed with this action.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 2 is objected to because the "sub-population" of line 2 and the "obtain" should be in plural form i.e. "sub-populations" and "obtains".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Claims 1-20 are indefinite in Claim 1 because the claim is drawn to a method of identifying nucleic acid sample but the claim does not recite method steps of sample

Art Unit: 1634

identification. Therefore the claims are indefinite, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: nucleic acid sample identification.

b. Claims 5 and 6 are indefinite in Claim 5 for the recitation "the luminescent image" because the recitation lacks proper antecedent basis in the claim. It is suggested that Claim 5 be amended to provide proper antecedent basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-18 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walt et al. (WO 00/16101, published 23 March 2000).

Regarding Claim 1, Walt et al disclose a method of identifying nucleic acid samples comprising providing a microarray including a substrate coated with a composition including a population of microspheres dispersed in a fluid containing a precursor to a gelling agent and

Art Unit: 1634

immobilized at random positions on the substrate (i.e. the randomly mixed microspheres within a solution are dripped onto the substrate wherein upon evaporation of the solution, the solution holds them in place and wherein the solution comprises solution such as Nafion, polyacrylamide or polyHEMA, page 22, lines 9-22). Walt et al further teach at least one subpopulatin contains an optical barcode generated from a colorant (i.e. dye) associated with the microsphere (page 16, line 15-page 17, line 2). Walt et al further teach the microspheres include a nucleic acid probe (page 12, lines 8-10) and the method includes contacting the array with a target sequence and detecting the color barcode of the subpopulation due to the target probe interaction (page 13, lines 12-35 and Claim 11).

Regarding Claim 2, Walt et al disclose the method wherein each subpopulation has a unique optical signature (bar code) and a unique probe sequence (page 17, lines 15-19).

Regarding Claim 3, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the optical bar code is generated by two or more colorants i.e. each optical signature is comprises of a mixture of dyes (page 16, liens 25-28).

Regarding Claim 4, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the optical barcode is generated by a mixture of red, green and blue i.e. each optical signature is comprises of a mixture of dyes including red, green and blue dyes e.g. rhodamine, Malacite green, and Cascade Blue<sup>TM</sup> (page 16, line 25-page 17, line 2).

Regarding Claim 5, Walt et al disclose the method wherein at least one subpopulation has a luminescent property (i.e. fluorescence) and wherein detecting includes whole frame imaging capture of a resulting luminescent image resulting from probe-target interaction to produce a first image, whole frame imaging capture of the microarray under bright field illumination to obtain microsphere signature image (background) to produce a first image and processing the first and second image to identify the nucleic acid (page 40, lines 1-15).

Regarding Claim 6, Walt et al disclose the method wherein said processing uses a pattern recognition algorithm to obtain the identification (page 32, line 5-page 35, line 12).

Art Unit: 1634

Regarding Claim 7, Walt et al disclose the method wherein at least one subpopulation has a fluorescent property and wherein detecting includes whole frame imaging capture of a resulting fluorescent image resulting from probe-target interaction to produce a first image, whole frame imaging capture of the microarray under bright field illumination to obtain microsphere signature image (background) to produce a first image and processing the first and second image to identify the nucleic acid (page 40, lines 1-15).

Regarding Claim 8, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the substrate is characterized by an absence of specific sites capable of interaction physically or chemically with the microspheres i.e. a planar substrate or within a tube (page 7, liens 14-20).

Regarding Claim 9, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the microspheres bear surface active sites which contain the nucleic acid probe (page 14, lines 20-30).

Regarding Claim 10, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the microspheres have a mean diameter of between 1 and 50 microns (page 9, lines 21-23).

Regarding Claim 11, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the microspheres have a mean diameter of between 3 and 30 microns (page 9, lines 21-23).

Regarding Claim 12, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the microspheres have a mean diameter of between 5 and 20 microns (page 9, lines 21-23).

Regarding Claim 13, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the microspheres are immobilized at a concentration of between 100 and 1 million microspheres per cm<sup>2</sup> (page 6, lines 21-24).

Regarding Claim 14, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the microspheres are immobilized at a concentration of between 1,000 and 200,000 microspheres per cm<sup>2</sup> (page 6, lines 26-28).

Regarding Claim 15, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the microspheres are immobilized at a concentration of between 10,000 and 100,00 microspheres per cm<sup>2</sup> (page 6, lines 21-28).

Art Unit: 1634

Regarding Claim 16, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the microspheres comprise a synthetic or natural polymeric material (page 9, lines 11-18).

Regarding Claim 17-18, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the microspheres comprise an amorphous polymer e.g. polystyrene (page 9, lines 11-18).

Regarding Claim 21, Walt et al disclose a method of identifying nucleic acid samples comprising providing a microarray including a substrate coated with a composition including a population of microspheres dispersed in a fluid containing a precursor to a gelling agent and immobilized at random positions on the substrate (i.e. the randomly mixed microspheres within a solution are dripped onto the substrate wherein upon evaporation of the solution, the solution holds them in place and wherein the solution comprises solution such as Nafion, polyacrylamide or polyHEMA, page 22, lines 9-22). Walt et al further teach at least one subpopulatin contains an optical barcode generated from a colorant (i.e. dye) associated with the microsphere (page 16, line 15-page 17, line 2). Walt et al further teach the microspheres include a nucleic acid probe (page 12, lines 8-10) and the method includes contacting the array with a target sequence and detecting the color barcode of the subpopulation due to the target probe interaction (page 13, lines 12-35 and Claim 11) wherein at least one subpopulation has a luminescent property (i.e. fluorescence) and wherein detecting includes whole frame imaging capture of a resulting luminescent image resulting from probe-target interaction to produce a first image, whole frame imaging capture of the microarray under bright field illumination to obtain microsphere signature image (background) to produce a first image and processing the first and second image to identify the nucleic acid (page 40, lines 1-15).

Regarding Claim 22, Walt et al disclose the method wherein said processing uses a pattern recognition algorithm to obtain the identification (page 32, line 5-page 35, line 12).

Regarding Claim 23, Walt et al disclose the method wherein each subpopulation has a unique optical signature (bar code) and a unique probe sequence (page 17, lines 15-19).

Art Unit: 1634

Regarding Claim 24, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the optical bar code is generated by two or more colorants i.e. each optical signature is comprises of a mixture of dyes (page 16, liens 25-28).

Regarding Claim 25, Walt et al disclose the method wherein the optical barcode is generated by a mixture of red, green and blue i.e. each optical signature is comprises of a mixture of dyes including red, green and blue dyes e.g. rhodamine, Malacite green, and Cascade Blue<sup>TM</sup> (page 16, line 25-page 17, line 2).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walt et al (a) (WO 00/16101, published 23 March 2000) in view of Walt et al (b) (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0172716 A1, filed 25 October 2000).

Regarding Claim 19, Walt et al disclose a method of identifying nucleic acid samples comprising providing a microarray including a substrate coated with a composition including a population of microspheres dispersed in a fluid containing a precursor to a gelling agent and immobilized at random positions on the substrate (i.e. the randomly mixed microspheres within a solution are dripped onto the substrate wherein upon evaporation of the solution, the

Application/Control Number: 10/036,828 Page 8

Art Unit: 1634

solution holds them in place and wherein the solution comprises solution such as Nafion, polyacrylamide or polyHEMA, page 22, lines 9-22). Walt et al further teach at least one sub-populatin contains an optical barcode generated from a colorant (i.e. dye) associated with the microsphere (page 16, line 15-page 17, line 2). Walt et al further teach the microspheres include a nucleic acid probe (page 12, lines 8-10) and the method includes contacting the array with a target sequence and detecting the color barcode of the subpopulation due to the target probe interaction (page 13, lines 12-35 and Claim 11) but they do not teach the microsphere contains less than 30 percent crosslinking agent. However, Walt et al (b) teach microsphere composition whereby the amount of crosslinking agent determines microsphere pore size i.e. increasing amounts of crosslinking agents decreases pore size (¶ 7) and pores provide access to the hollow portion of the microsphere. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the microspheres for entrapping dye of Walt et al (a) with a percent crosslinking agent which provides appropriate access to the hollow portion of the microsphere for dye entrapment as suggested by Walt et al (b) for the obvious benefit of entrapping the optical signature-specific dyes.

9. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walt et al (WO 00/16101, published 23 March 2000) in view of Chang et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,873,102, issued 10 October 1989).

Regarding Claim 20, Walt et al disclose a method of identifying nucleic acid samples comprising providing a microarray including a substrate coated with a composition including a population of microspheres dispersed in a fluid containing a precursor to a gelling agent and

Art Unit: 1634

immobilized at random positions on the substrate (i.e. the randomly mixed microspheres within a solution are dripped onto the substrate wherein upon evaporation of the solution, the solution holds them in place and wherein the solution comprises solution such as Nafion, polyacrylamide or polyHEMA, page 22, lines 9-22). Walt et al further teach at least one subpopulatin contains an optical barcode generated from a colorant (i.e. dye) associated with the microsphere (page 16, line 15-page 17, line 2). Walt et al further teach the microspheres include a nucleic acid probe (page 12, lines 8-10) and the method includes contacting the array with a target sequence and detecting the color barcode of the subpopulation due to the target probe interaction (page 13, lines 12-35 and Claim 11) but they are silent regarding the polymerization method. However, emulsion polymerization preparation of microspheres was well known in the art at the time the claimed invention was made as taught by Change et al (Example 1, Column 6, lines 25-57) wherein the method provides microspheres of very narrow size range. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to apply the emulsion polymerization of Change et al to the microspheres of Walt et al to thereby provide microspheres of a uniform size as taught by Chang et al (Column 6, lines 26-28) for the obvious benefits of providing consistent microsphere surface area for surface interaction and thereby controlling interaction uniformity.

# NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH NUCLEIC ACID SEQUENCE RULES

10. This application ON PAGE 17 contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.821-1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements

Art Unit: 1634

For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures.

APPLICANT IS GIVEN A PERIOD OF TIME CO-EXTENSIVE WITH THE PERIOD OF TIME TO REPLY WITH THE ABOVE OFFICE ACTION WITHIN WHICH TO COMPLY WITH THE SEQUENCE RULES, 37 C.R.F. §§ 1.821-1.825. Failure to comply with these requirements will result in ABANDONMENT of the application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(g). Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136. In no case may an applicant extend the period for response beyond the six month statutory period. Direct the response to the undersigned. Applicant is requested to return a copy of the attached Notice to Comply with the response.

### Conclusion

- 11. No claim is allowed.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BJ Forman whose telephone number is (703) 306-5878. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 TO 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-8724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

BJ Forman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1634 July 23, 2003